

# Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement      **Date of Birth:** 8/01/2001      **Date of Assessment:** 3/20/2007

**Parent:** Collin Clement      **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement      **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

## Safety Assessment and Safety Conclusion

**A. Safety Threats:** Are negative family conditions and/or circumstances, and/or caregiver behaviors, emotions, attitudes, and perceptions that are out of control in the presence of a vulnerable child and therefore likely to have severe effects on a child at any time in the near future.

- **Out-of-Control:** refers to family behavior, condition, or situations which are unrestrained, resulting in an unpredictable and possibly chaotic family environment not subject to the family's influence, manipulation, or ability to control. Such out-of-control family conditions pose a danger.
- **Severity:** refers to the effects of maltreatment that have already occurred and/or potential for harsh effects.
- **Imminent:** refers to the belief that dangerous family behaviors, conditions, or situations will remain active or become active within the next several days to within a month. This is consistent with a degree of certainty that, barring intervention, danger and severe harm are possible or even inevitable outcomes.
- **Observable:** refers to family behaviors, conditions, or situations representing a danger to a child that are specific, definite, real, can be seen and understood, and are subject to being reported and proven.
- **Vulnerable Child:** refers to a child who is dependent on others for protection and exposed to circumstances that she or he is powerless to manage and is susceptible, accessible, or available to a threatening person and/or persons in authority over them. Vulnerability is judged according to age, physical and emotional development, ability to communicate needs, degree of mobility, size, and level of dependence and susceptibility. This definition also applies to all young children ages 0 to 6 and older children who, for whatever reason, are not able to protect themselves or seek help from protective others.

### Safety Threats

1. No adult in the home is performing basic parenting duties and responsibilities that assure child safety.  
YES       NO
2. One or both parents/caregivers are violent and/or acting dangerously.  
YES       NO
3. One or both parents/caregivers will not/cannot control their behavior.  
YES       NO

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 8/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 3/20/2007

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

4. One or both parents/caregivers perceive a child in extremely negative terms. YES  NO
5. The family does not have or use resources necessary to assure child's safety. YES  NO
6. One or both parents/caregivers are threatening to severely harm a child or are fearful they will maltreat a child and/or request placement. YES  NO
7. One or both parents/caregivers intend(ed) to seriously hurt a child. YES  NO
8. One or both parents/caregivers lack parenting knowledge, skills, or motivation necessary to assure a child's safety. YES  NO
9. A child has exceptional needs that affect his/her safety which parents/caregivers are not meeting, cannot meet, or will not meet the child's needs. YES  NO
10. Living arrangements seriously endanger a child's physical health. YES  NO

**B. Safety Assessment:** Answer the following based on the safety threats identified and proceed as instructed.

1. One or more safety threats are identified. YES  NO
2. If Yes to the above, proceed to C below.
3. If No to the above, proceed to E below.

**C. Child Vulnerability**

YES  NO

Explain how each child is vulnerable to the identified safety threats:

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement      **Date of Birth:** 8/01/2001      **Date of Assessment:** 3/20/2007

**Parent:** Collin Clement      **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement      **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

**D. Protective Capacity Evaluation of Non-Maltreating Caregiver:** Indicate below whether or not the non-maltreating parent or other adults residing in the home can/will protect the child.

- Can and Will Protect (Provide justification below)
- Cannot/Will Not Protect (Proceed to E)
- N/A – no other caregiver in home or no non-maltreating caregiver

State the basis for your professional judgment if your conclusion is that a non-maltreating parent/caregiver can and will protect the child. Describe protective capacities and how the parent/caregiver has protected the child in the past.

*Mother and father are receptive to CPS intervention and preventative services to ensure the well-being of their son. Mother and father accept the responsibility of their son's hygiene and agreed to send an extra set of clothes to David's school in case he may need to change clothes. Father and mother can meet the basic needs for clothing and will do laundry at paternal relatives' house as needed.*

**E. Case Opening or Closing:**

- The case will remain open.
  - There is an unsafe child (safety threat, vulnerable child, and non-protective parents). If the case will remain open, complete F and G.
  - The risk level is High.
- The case can be closed. There is no unsafe child, and the risk level is low or moderate. Indicate reasons, and move to signature section:

**F. Impending Danger Description:** Describe how impending danger is currently manifested in the family. Include how each threat is occurring, when and how often, and under what circumstances. Include whether others are involved, whether all five safety threshold criteria apply, and the inability of the family to control the threat to child safety.

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 8/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 3/20/2007

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

*Parents are responsible for child's hygiene and agreed to bathe their son more often. Parents use the resources available to them to wash their son's clothes and agreed to do laundry more often to ensure that David has clean clothes to wear.*

**G. Analysis for In-Home Safety Plan:** Complete to determine if an In-Home Safety Plan is appropriate.

1. Are the parent/caregivers residing in the home?  
YES     NO
2. Is the home calm/consistent enough to allow for safety services to come into the home?  
YES     NO
3. Are parent/caregivers willing to allow and/or participate with an in-home safety plan?  
YES     NO
4. Are services/resources available to participate with an in-home safety plan?  
YES     NO

If **NO** to any of the above, an in-home safety plan is not appropriate.

### Signature and Approval

Cory Bright

Worker

3/20/2007

Date

Amie Hicks

Supervisor

3/20/2007

Date

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement      **Date of Birth:** 8/01/2001      **Date of Assessment:** 4/04/2011

**Parent:** Collin Clement      **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement      **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

### Safety Assessment and Safety Conclusion

**A. Safety Threats:** Are negative family conditions and/or circumstances, and/or caregiver behaviors, emotions, attitudes, and perceptions that are out of control in the presence of a vulnerable child and therefore likely to have severe effects on a child at any time in the near future.

- **Out-of-Control:** refers to family behavior, condition, or situations which are unrestrained, resulting in an unpredictable and possibly chaotic family environment not subject to the family's influence, manipulation, or ability to control. Such out-of-control family conditions pose a danger.
- **Severity:** refers to the effects of maltreatment that have already occurred and/or potential for harsh effects.
- **Imminent:** refers to the belief that dangerous family behaviors, conditions, or situations will remain active or become active within the next several days to within a month. This is consistent with a degree of certainty that, barring intervention, danger and severe harm are possible or even inevitable outcomes.
- **Observable:** refers to family behaviors, conditions, or situations representing a danger to a child that are specific, definite, real, can be seen and understood, and are subject to being reported and proven.
- **Vulnerable Child:** refers to a child who is dependent on others for protection and exposed to circumstances that she or he is powerless to manage and is susceptible, accessible, or available to a threatening person and/or persons in authority over them. Vulnerability is judged according to age, physical and emotional development, ability to communicate needs, degree of mobility, size, and level of dependence and susceptibility. This definition also applies to all young children ages 0 to 6 and older children who, for whatever reason, are not able to protect themselves or seek help from protective others.

#### Safety Threats

1. No adult in the home is performing basic parenting duties and responsibilities that assure child safety.  
YES       NO
2. One or both parents/caregivers are violent and/or acting dangerously.  
YES       NO
3. One or both parents/caregivers will not/cannot control their behavior.  
YES       NO

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 8/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 4/04/2011

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

4. One or both parents/caregivers perceive a child in extremely negative terms. YES  NO
5. The family does not have or use resources necessary to assure child's safety. YES  NO
6. One or both parents/caregivers are threatening to severely harm a child or are fearful they will maltreat a child and/or request placement. YES  NO
7. One or both parents/caregivers intend(ed) to seriously hurt a child. YES  NO
8. One or both parents/caregivers lack parenting knowledge, skills or motivation necessary to assure a child's safety. YES  NO
9. A child has exceptional needs that affect his/her safety which parents/caregivers are not meeting, cannot meet or will not meet the child's needs. YES  NO
10. Living arrangements seriously endanger a child's physical health. YES  NO

**B. Safety Assessment:** Answer the following based on the safety threats identified and proceed as instructed.

1. One or more safety threats are identified. YES  NO
2. If Yes to the above, proceed to C below.
3. If No to the above, proceed to E below.

**C. Child Vulnerability**

YES  NO

Explain how each child is vulnerable to the identified safety threats:

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement      **Date of Birth:** 8/01/2001      **Date of Assessment:** 4/04/2011

**Parent:** Collin Clement      **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement      **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

Father is the primary caregiver, and his violent action caused a severe injury, spiral fracture-safety threat #2. The father's injury to the child was inconsistent with his explanation. The father cannot control his behavior and lost his temper when attempting to discipline his son. He does not show remorse for injuring his son-safety threat #3. The child is vulnerable to safety threat #5 because the family does not have the resources necessary to assure the child's safety as the mother does not reside in the home or contribute to parenting David. The mother's alleged mental illness, depression, affects her ability to protect David. Father lacks support. David is vulnerable to safety threat #8 as his father lacks the knowledge to use other alternatives to hitting and injuring him for dealing with issues such as lying and being disrespectful. David and his father live with the paternal grandmother, who has diabetes, high blood pressure, and neuropathy and cannot physically intervene to ensure his safety-safety threat #10.

**D. Protective Capacity Evaluation of Non-Maltreating Caregiver:** Indicate below whether or not the non-maltreating parent or other adults residing in the home can/will protect the child.

- Can and Will Protect (Provide justification below)
- Cannot/Will Not Protect (Proceed to E)
- N/A – no other caregiver in home or no non-maltreating caregiver

State the basis for your professional judgment if your conclusion is that a non-maltreating parent/caregiver can and will protect the child. Describe protective capacities and how the parent/caregiver has protected the child in the past.

**E. Case Opening or Closing:**

- The case will remain open.
  - There is an unsafe child (safety threat, vulnerable child, and non-protective parents). If the case will remain open, complete F and G.
  - The risk level is High.
- The case can be closed. There is no unsafe child and the risk level is low or moderate. Indicate reasons and move to signature section:

**F. Impending Danger Description:** Describe how impending danger is currently manifested in the family. Include how each threat is occurring, when and how often, and under what circumstances. Include whether others are involved, whether all five safety threshold criteria apply, and the inability of the family to control the threat to child safety.

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 8/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 4/04/2011

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

David, 9 years old, sustained a spiral fracture by his father during an altercation. The father did not seek immediate medical attention and brought David to the emergency room several days later. The father's explanation of David's injury is inconsistent with the exam and x-ray results. The father is the primary caretaker and admits that David's behavior is difficult to control, and he often loses his temper around his son. The father lacks support from extended family members and admits to feeling overwhelmed as a single parent. Without an immediate intervention, the father does not demonstrate the ability to prevent any future injury to David. The non-custodial mother's whereabouts are not known, and she is not actively involved in David's care.

**G. Analysis for In-Home Safety Plan:** Complete to determine if an In-Home Safety Plan is appropriate.

1. Are the parent/caregivers residing in the home?  
YES     NO
2. Is the home calm/consistent enough to allow for safety services to come into the home?  
YES     NO
3. Are parent/caregivers willing to allow and/or participate with an in-home safety plan?  
YES     NO
4. Are services/resources available to participate with an in-home safety plan?  
YES     NO

If **NO** to any of the above, an in-home safety plan is not appropriate.

### Signature and Approval

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Stout

Worker

\_\_\_\_\_  
4/4/2011

Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sarah Niles

Supervisor

\_\_\_\_\_  
4/4/2011

Date



## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 08/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 06/03/2011

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

### Safety Assessment and Safety Conclusion

**A. Safety Threats:** Are negative family conditions and/or circumstances, and/or caregiver behaviors, emotions, attitudes, and perceptions that are out of control in the presence of a vulnerable child and therefore likely to have severe effects on a child at any time in the near future.

- **Out-of-Control:** refers to family behavior, condition, or situations which are unrestrained, resulting in an unpredictable and possibly chaotic family environment not subject to the family's influence, manipulation, or ability to control. Such out-of-control family conditions pose a danger.
- **Severity:** refers to the effects of maltreatment that have already occurred and/or potential for harsh effects.
- **Imminent:** refers to the belief that dangerous family behaviors, conditions, or situations will remain active or become active within the next several days to within a month. This is consistent with a degree of certainty that, barring intervention, danger and severe harm are possible or even inevitable outcomes.
- **Observable:** refers to family behaviors, conditions, or situations representing a danger to a child that are specific, definite, real, can be seen and understood, and are subject to being reported and proven.
- **Vulnerable Child:** refers to a child who is dependent on others for protection and exposed to circumstances that she or he is powerless to manage and is susceptible, accessible, or available to a threatening person and/or persons in authority over them. Vulnerability is judged according to age, physical and emotional development, ability to communicate needs, degree of mobility, size, and level of dependence and susceptibility. This definition also applies to all young children ages 0 to 6 and older children who, for whatever reason, are not able to protect themselves or seek help from protective others.

#### Safety Threats

1. No adult in the home is performing basic parenting duties and responsibilities that assure child safety.  
YES       NO
2. One or both parents/caregivers are violent and/or acting dangerously.  
YES       NO
3. One or both parents/caregivers will not/cannot control their behavior.  
YES       NO

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 08/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 06/03/2011

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

4. One or both parents/caregivers perceive a child in extremely negative terms. YES  NO
5. The family does not have or use resources necessary to assure child's safety. YES  NO
6. One or both parents/caregivers are threatening to severely harm a child or are fearful they will maltreat a child and/or request placement. YES  NO
7. One or both parents/caregivers intend(ed) to seriously hurt a child. YES  NO
8. One or both parents/caregivers lack parenting knowledge, skills or motivation necessary to assure a child's safety. YES  NO
9. A child has exceptional needs that affect his/her safety which parents/caregivers are not meeting, cannot meet or will not meet the child's needs. YES  NO
10. Living arrangements seriously endanger a child's physical health. YES  NO

**B. Safety Assessment:** Answer the following based on the safety threats identified and proceed as instructed.

1. One or more safety threats are identified. YES  NO
2. If Yes to the above, proceed to C below.
3. If No to the above, proceed to E below.

**C. Child Vulnerability**

YES  NO

Explain how each child is vulnerable to the identified safety threats:

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 08/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 06/03/2011

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

David cannot protect himself and suffered a serious physical injury as a result of his father's violent actions—safety threat #2. The father's injury to the child was inconsistent with his explanation. Father cannot control his behavior and lacks knowledge and skills to use appropriate discipline when his use misbehaves—safety threats #3 and #8. The child is vulnerable to safety threat #5 because the family does not have the resources necessary to assure the child's safety as the mother does not reside in the home or contribute to parenting David. Mother cannot protect David until she addresses mental health concerns. David's paternal grandmother is not in a position to provide protection due to several medical conditions. The father lives with his mother, David's grandmother—safety threat #10.

**D. Protective Capacity Evaluation of Non-Maltreating Caregiver:** Indicate below whether or not the non-maltreating parent or other adults residing in the home can/will protect the child.

- Can and Will Protect (Provide justification below)
- Cannot/Will Not Protect (Proceed to E)
- N/A – no other caregiver in home or no non-maltreating caregiver

State the basis for your professional judgment if your conclusion is that a non-maltreating parent/caregiver can and will protect the child. Describe protective capacities and how the parent/caregiver has protected the child in the past.

**E. Case Opening or Closing:**

- The case will remain open.
  - There is an unsafe child (safety threat, vulnerable child, and non-protective parents). If the case will remain open, complete F and G.
  - The risk level is High.
- The case can be closed. There is no unsafe child and the risk level is low or moderate. Indicate reasons and move to signature section:

**F. Impending Danger Description:** Describe how impending danger is currently manifested in the family. Include how each threat is occurring, when and how often, and under what

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 08/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 06/03/2011

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

circumstances. Include whether others are involved, whether all five safety threshold criteria apply, and the inability of the family to control the threat to child safety.

David, 9 years old, sustained a spiral fracture by his father during an altercation. The father did not seek immediate medical attention and brought David to the emergency room several days later. The father's explanation of David's injury is inconsistent with the exam and x-ray results. The father is the primary caretaker and admits that David's behavior is difficult to control, and he lost his temper. No evidence of protective capacity. The mother is not actively involved in David's care.

**G. Analysis for In-Home Safety Plan:** Complete to determine if an In-Home Safety Plan is appropriate.

1. Are the parent/caregivers residing in the home?  
YES     NO
2. Is the home calm/consistent enough to allow for safety services to come into the home?  
YES     NO
3. Are parent/caregivers willing to allow and/or participate with an in-home safety plan?  
YES     NO
4. Are services/resources available to participate with an in-home safety plan?  
YES     NO

If **NO** to any of the above, an in-home safety plan is not appropriate.

### Signature and Approval

Timothy Riggs

Worker

6/3/2011

Date

Sara Perry

Supervisor

6/3/2011

Date

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 08/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 1/4/2012

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

### Safety Assessment and Safety Conclusion

**A. Safety Threats:** Are negative family conditions and/or circumstances, and/or caregiver behaviors, emotions, attitudes, and perceptions that are out of control in the presence of a vulnerable child and therefore likely to have severe effects on a child at any time in the near future.

- **Out-of-Control:** refers to family behavior, condition, or situations which are unrestrained, resulting in an unpredictable and possibly chaotic family environment not subject to the family's influence, manipulation, or ability to control. Such out-of-control family conditions pose a danger.
- **Severity:** refers to the effects of maltreatment that have already occurred and/or potential for harsh effects.
- **Imminent:** refers to the belief that dangerous family behaviors, conditions, or situations will remain active or become active within the next several days to within a month. This is consistent with a degree of certainty that, barring intervention, danger and severe harm are possible or even inevitable outcomes.
- **Observable:** refers to family behaviors, conditions, or situations representing a danger to a child that are specific, definite, real, can be seen and understood, and are subject to being reported and proven.
- **Vulnerable Child:** refers to a child who is dependent on others for protection and exposed to circumstances that she or he is powerless to manage and is susceptible, accessible, or available to a threatening person and/or persons in authority over them. Vulnerability is judged according to age, physical and emotional development, ability to communicate needs, degree of mobility, size, and level of dependence and susceptibility. This definition also applies to all young children ages 0 to 6 and older children who, for whatever reason, are not able to protect themselves or seek help from protective others.

#### Safety Threats

1. No adult in the home is performing basic parenting duties and responsibilities that assure child safety.  
YES       NO
2. One or both parents/caregivers are violent and/or acting dangerously.  
YES       NO
3. One or both parents/caregivers will not/cannot control their behavior.  
YES       NO

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 08/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 1/4/2012

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

4. One or both parents/caregivers perceive a child in extremely negative terms.  
YES     NO
5. The family does not have or use resources necessary to assure child's safety.  
YES     NO
6. One or both parents/caregivers are threatening to severely harm a child or are fearful they will maltreat a child and/or request placement.  
YES     NO
7. One or both parents/caregivers intend(ed) to seriously hurt a child.  
YES     NO
8. One or both parents/caregivers lack parenting knowledge, skills or motivation necessary to assure a child's safety.  
YES     NO
9. A child has exceptional needs that affect his/her safety which parents/caregivers are not meeting, cannot meet or will not meet the child's needs.  
YES     NO
10. Living arrangements seriously endanger a child's physical health.  
YES     NO

**B. Safety Assessment:** Answer the following based on the safety threats identified and proceed as instructed.

1. One or more safety threats are identified.    YES     NO
2. If Yes to the above, proceed to C below.
3. If No to the above, proceed to E below.

**C. Child Vulnerability**

YES     NO

Explain how each child is vulnerable to the identified safety threats:

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 08/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 1/4/2012

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

**D. Protective Capacity Evaluation of Non-Maltreating Caregiver:** Indicate below whether or not the non-maltreating parent or other adults residing in the home can/will protect the child.

- Can and Will Protect (Provide justification below)
- Cannot/Will Not Protect (Proceed to E)
- N/A – no other caregiver in home or no non-maltreating caregiver

State the basis for your professional judgment if your conclusion is that a non-maltreating parent/caregiver can and will protect the child. Describe protective capacities and how the parent/caregiver has protected the child in the past.

The father has successfully completed the parenting coaching program. He is now demonstrating new skills in disciplining his son with harm or injury. Observations of the child and father are appropriate. Safety concerns of the child have been ameliorated. The father accepts and demonstrates the ability to nurture and provide for the well-being of the child. Father shows remorse and desire to prevent any future injury to child.

**E. Case Opening or Closing:**

- The case will remain open.
  - There is an unsafe child (safety threat, vulnerable child, and non-protective parents). If the case will remain open, complete F and G.
  - The risk level is High.
- The case can be closed. There is no unsafe child and the risk level is low or moderate. Indicate reasons and move to signature section:

**F. Impending Danger Description:** Describe how impending danger is currently manifested in the family. Include how each threat is occurring, when and how often, and under what circumstances. Include whether others are involved, whether all five safety threshold criteria apply, and the inability of the family to control the threat to child safety.

Although risk remains high, parent is demonstrating sufficient protective capacities to address any safety issues and will continue with services and resources required to address risk.

**G. Analysis for In-Home Safety Plan:** Complete to determine if an In-Home Safety Plan is appropriate

## Mock State Safety Assessment

---

**Case Name:** Clement

**Child(ren):** David Clement    **Date of Birth:** 08/01/2001    **Date of Assessment:** 1/4/2012

**Parent:** Collin Clement    **Date of Birth:** 3/27/1979

**Parent:** Jennifer Clement    **Date of Birth:** 10/30/1981

1. Are the parent/caregivers residing in the home?  
YES     NO
2. Is the home calm/consistent enough to allow for safety services to come into the home?  
YES     NO
3. Are parent/caregivers willing to allow and/or participate with an in-home safety plan?  
YES     NO
4. Are services/resources available to participate with an in-home safety plan?  
YES     NO

If **NO** to any of the above, an in-home safety plan is not appropriate.

### Signature and Approval

Timothy Riggs

Worker

1/4/2012

Date

Sara Perry

Supervisor

1/4/2012

Date